

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/588,020	NISHIKAWA, EIJI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Abbas I Abdulsalam	2674	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Abbas I Abdulsalam.

(3) _____.

(2) Jackie Spang

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 05/18/03

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-14 Fastsumi (USPN 4,780,388)
Hiketa
Kato (USPN 5,583,539)

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: _____.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

The Examiner Agrees that the secondary reference does not teach multiple Displays. The Examiner agrees that upon a submission of a proposed amendment, a new office action will be sent. The proposed amendment on independent claim 1 overcomes the prior arts cited over claims 1-6.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Abbas Abdulsalam
Examiner's signature, if required